



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,083	05/05/2005	Erkki Reuhkala	P3576US00	2390
30671	7590	11/26/2010	EXAMINER	
DITTHAVONG MORI & STEINER, P.C.			TORRES, MARCOS L	
918 Prince Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2617	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@dcpatent.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,083	REUHKALA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARCOS L. TORRES	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2010.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20,22-25,27 and 29-31 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20,22-25,27 and 29-31 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-5-10.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4-22-10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. As to applicant's representative [hereinafter applicant] arguments that a computer inherently has a storage medium, It appears that the original specification and claims fails to disclose and define "computer readable storage medium" such that the newly amended limitation "computer readable storage medium" fails to comply with written description requirement.
3. Applicant arguments that "Because the feature in Britt is to enable a call by subscribers within a single network to avoid long distance charges, it is not desirable for Britt to use multiple networks to make a call and incur long distance charges as a result. The feature of calling to a third network in Berkowitz cannot be combined with Britt because such combination would result in making a call using different networks, thus incurring long distance charges", it is noted that using different network does not necessarily result in long distance charges, for example calling from wireless network to landline network [PSTN] or vice versa and Britt discloses both (see col. 2, lines 55-56). Therefore, Britt and Berkowitz can be properly combined.
4. The rest of the arguments they fall for the same reasons as shown above the current rejection in record stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner was unable to find support for the limitation of computer readable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 17-18, 23-24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davenport 2002/0082044 in view of Britt US006424832B1 and further in view of Berkowitz 7133678.

As to claim 1, Davenport discloses a method comprising: a terminal [12] receiving via terminal, location dependent routing information including information regarding different routes [networks] for a connection according to location of the terminal (see par. 0011, 0013, 0017); establishing via the terminal the connection (see par. 0017), wherein the connection between the terminals is automatically selected based on the location of the terminal so as to be transparent from a user of the terminal (see par. 0006, 0007, 0017, 0019). Davenport does not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in

number [roamer port] for said access point wherein said routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in number to performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point wherein said routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

As to claim 2, Davenport discloses a method wherein the determination to establish the connection comprises determining to initiate the connection establishment by the at least one terminal (see par. 0017).

As to claim 4, Davenport discloses the method comprising receiving at least two sets of location dependent routing information in the terminal and determine to select information from one of the sets of routing information based on the location of the terminal (the set number will depend on the available network [note that fig. 1 have two networks, thereby having two different routing information] see par. 0011, 0013, 0017).

As to claim 5, Davenport discloses a method wherein the at least two sets of location dependent routing information comprise sets of routing information for use in the home network and in at least one visited network (see par. 0002, 0003).

As to claim 6, Davenport discloses a method comprising the further receiving by the terminal in a roaming situation the set of routing information relating to the visited network in which the station is roaming (note that the information used to select and connect to the network is being equated to the routing information; see par. 0002, 0003, 0007, 0011, 0017).

As to claim 7, Davenport discloses a method wherein a cost of the connection is optimized based on the location dependent routing information (see par. 0017).

As to claim 8, Davenport discloses a method comprising determining to update the location dependent routing information in response to an event (see par. 0018).

As to claim 9, Davenport discloses a method wherein the determination to update is triggered by predetermined change in location of the terminal (see par. 0003).

As to claim 17, Davenport discloses a method comprising determining the location of the terminal based on an indicator received from a communication network serving the terminal (see col. 9, lines 55-65).

As to claim 18, Davenport discloses a method determining the location of the terminal based on information regarding the geographical location of the terminal (see par. 0015).

As to claim 23, Davenport discloses a computer readable storage medium carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions which when executed by one or more processor cause an apparatus to at least perform the steps receiving location dependent routing information and storing from said data storage on a server and storing said location dependent routing information on said storage medium (see par. 0011, 0013, 0017, 0019); said location dependent routing information received by the terminal including information regarding different routes [networks] for a connection according to location of the terminal (see par. 0017); and the determination to establish a connection, wherein the connection is selected based on the location of the terminal (see par. 0006, 0007, 0017). Davenport does not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said

location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

As to claim 24, Davenport discloses a terminal apparatus [12] comprising a processor [14] memory including computer program code configured to: receive location dependent routing information including information regarding different routes [networks] for a connection according to location of the terminal apparatus (see par. 0011, 0013, 0017); and the determination to establish the connection wherein the connection is automatically selected based on the location of the terminal transparent to a user of the terminal (see par. 0006, 0007, 0017, 0019). Davenport does not

specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point wherein said routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point wherein said routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the

teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

As to claim 30, Davenport discloses a method, comprising: receiving location dependent routing information in a terminal via an input device [10] of the terminal for use in establishing a connection over a communication system (see par. 0006, 0007, 0011), said location dependent routing information including information regarding different routes [network] for a connection according to a location of the terminal (see par. 0017), processing in a processor [14] in the terminal information associated with the location of the terminal for automatically selecting routing information from the location dependent routing information for connection establishment based on the location, determining to initiate via the terminal, the establishment of said connection to the other terminal based on the selected routing information of the at least one terminal so as to be transparent to a user of the terminal (see par. 0006, 0007, 0017, 0019). Davenport does not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point wherein said routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point wherein said

routing is to at least one other terminal in a second communication network (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

11. Claims 25, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denisson in view of Moon 7295844 and further in view of Britt and further in view of Berkowitz.

As to claim 25, Denisson discloses a terminal (see col. 15, lines 11-19) comprising: input for input of location dependent routing information for use in establishing a connection over the communication system; and connection

establishment for initiating establishment of a connection to another terminal based on the location dependent routing information (see col. 11, line 49 - col. 12, line 39).

Dennison does not specifically disclose including information regarding different routes for a connection according to the location of the terminal or the selection being done by the terminal. In an analogous art, Moon discloses including information regarding different routes for a connection according to the location of the terminal (see col.8, line 47 – col. 9, line 30) and the selection being done automatically by the terminal (see col. 7, line 47-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to include the data about the routing to help the station maintain a reliable communication by choosing the best path. The prior references do not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple

communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

As to claim 27, Denisson discloses the terminal comprising further selecting information from one of the sets of routing information based on the location of the terminal (see col. 11, line 49 - col. 12, line 39). Denisson does not specifically disclose storing at least two sets of location dependent routing information in the terminal. In an analogous art, moon discloses storing at least two sets of location dependent routing information in the (see col.8, line 47 - col. 9, line 30;see col. 7, line 47-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to include the data about the routing to help the station maintain a reliable communication by choosing the best path.

As to claim 29, Denisson discloses a routing server configured to store location dependent routing information, to receive information of the location of a station, to modify the location dependent routing information based on the location of the station

and to transmit location dependent routing information to terminals. Dennison does not specifically disclose including information regarding different routes for a connection according to the location of the terminal or the selection being done by the terminal. In an analogous art, Moon discloses including information regarding different routes for a connection according to the location of the terminal (see col.8, line 47 – col. 9, line 30) and the selection automatically being done by the terminal (see col. 7, line 47-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to include the data about the routing to help the station maintain a reliable communication by choosing the best path. The prior references do not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication

network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

12. Claims 10-12, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davenport in view of Britt and Berkowitz and further in view of Denisson.

As to claim 10, Denisson discloses a method wherein said the determination to establish the connection comprising the determination to route the connection via a first communication network serving the calling terminal, a second communication network serving the called terminal and a third communication network, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see col. 12, lines 6-39; col. 15, lines 3-19; col. 16, lines 12-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to route the call thru different networks for the simple purpose to permit the call reach its destination.

As to claim 11, Denisson discloses a method wherein the determination to establish comprises the determination to route the connection via an access point entity interfacing the third communication network with at least one of the first and second

communication networks, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see col. 12, lines 6-39; col. 15, lines 3-19; col. 16, lines 12-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to route the call thru different networks for the simple purpose to permit the call reach its destination.

As to claim 12, Davenport discloses a method further comprising the determination to select the access point entity based on the location of the station (see par. 0017).

As to claim 15, Davenport discloses providing a terminal with location dependent routing information comprising said transmitting the location dependent routing information to the terminal (see par. 0017, 0006, 0007). Denisson discloses a method wherein the data storage is provided in a routing server, said, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see col. 9, lines 40-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to route the call thru different networks for the simple purpose to permit the call reach its destination.

As to claim 19, Davenport discloses location dependent routing information based on location dependent routing information stored in the data storage and a master set of routing information (see par. 0013). Davenport does not disclose a method further comprising computing at least one additional set of location dependent routing information. Denisson discloses a method further comprising computing at least one additional set of location dependent routing information (see col. 12, lines 4-39; col. 13, lines 30-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in

the art at the time of the invention to route the call thru different networks for the simple purpose to permit the call reach its destination.

13. Claims 3, 10, 13-14, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davenport in view of Britt and Berkowitz and further in view of Silver US007162237B1.

As to claim 3, Davenport discloses everything as explained above except for method wherein the determination to establish the connection comprises determining to initiate the connection establishment by a terminal other than the at least one terminal. In an analogous art, Silver discloses a method wherein the determination to establish the connection comprises determining to initiate the connection establishment by a terminal other than the at least one terminal (see col. 8, lines 8-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to combine these teaching to permit the terminal to receive calls.

As to claim 10, Silver discloses a method wherein the determination to establish the connection comprising determining to route the connection via a first communication network serving the calling terminal, a second communication network serving the called terminal and a third communication network, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see fig. 3, items 308, 102, 320). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to route the call thru different networks for the simple purpose to permit the call reach its destination.

As to claim 13, Silver discloses a method wherein the third communication network comprises a packet switched data network, which is not disclosed by

Davenport (see col.2, lines 53-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to use these teachings in any common wireless network.

As to claim 14, Silver discloses a method comprising wherein communication of data over said data network is based on the Internet Protocol, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see col.2, lines 53-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to use these teachings in any common wireless network.

As to claim 20, Silver discloses a method further comprising the steps of the determination to input in the terminal a telephone number of the other terminal, and the determination to route the connection between the terminals based on the location dependent routing information, which is not disclosed by Davenport (see col. 8, line 22 – col. 9, line 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to combine these teaching to permit the terminal to send and receive calls.

As to claim 22, Silver discloses a method wherein one of the terminals is a computer, said establishing a connection comprising establishing a data connection between the at least one terminal and the computer (see col. 6, lines 33-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to use these teachings in any common communication terminal such a computer.

14. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davenport in view of Britt and Berkowitz further in view of Denisson and Silver.

As to claim 16, the prior references disclose everything as explained above (see claim 15) except for the method further comprising the determination to initiate a procedure for connection establishment by sending a voice command from the terminal to the routing server. In an analogous art, Silver discloses the method further comprising the determination to initiate a procedure for connection establishment by sending a voice command from the terminal to the routing server (see col. 8, lines 20-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use voice recognition to facilitate user input for the activation of the features.

15. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davenport in view of Dougherty US006831902B1 and further in view of Britt and Berkowitz.

As to claim 31, Davenport discloses a method, comprising: determining to storing location dependent routing information in a database (see par. 0013), and transmitting from the routing server to the terminal the location dependent routing information including information regarding different routes for a connection selected by the terminal according to the location of the terminal transparent to the user terminal (see par. 0006,0007, 0017, 0019). Davenport does not specifically disclose receiving at the routing server information on a location of the terminal, determining to modify at the routing server the location dependent routing information based on the received

information on the location of the terminal. In an analogous art Dougherty disclose receiving at the routing server information on a location of a terminal, determining to modify at the routing server the location dependent routing information based on the received information on the location of the terminal (see col. 1, line 63-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to track the location of the device for the simple purpose to route incoming calls to the device and permit to receive calls. The prior references do not specifically disclose wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number for said access point. In an analogous art, Britt discloses wherein said location dependent routing information includes local call-in numbers and parameters for performing two-stage dialing for establishing said connection via an access point accessible at said location of the at least one terminal using a local call-in number [roamer port] for said access point (see col. 2, line 44 - col. 3, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to also include the local number and use the common and well-known two stage dialing procedure to reduce long distant trunks as suggested in col. 3, lines 1-4. The prior references fails to disclose routing from multiple communication network via a third communication network comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong. In another analogous art, Berkowitz discloses via

a third communication network [PBX] comprising, an internal communication network of an organization to which both said terminal establishing the connection and the at least one other terminal belong (see fig 2, 3; col. .7, lines 24-30, 60-65, col. 8, lines 4-21, 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Berkowitz for the simple purpose of permitting the connection and communication to a PBX.

Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCOS L. TORRES whose telephone number is (571)272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Marcos L Torres/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617